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# 1. Revision Table

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date | Version | Description | Delivery |
| 26/05/2025 | V1.0 | First report’s version | D04 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

# 2. Execution summary

For this testing document, each of the implementations present in the mandatory requirements of the D04 deliverable have been developed and explained.

Both the system performance and the functional performance of each and every one of the requested functions have been evaluated, in this case, those related to ‘booking’ and ‘passenger’. The methodology provided in Lesson 04, ‘S01 - Formal testing’ and ‘S02 - Performance testing’ was followed.

The system performs robustly in terms of functionality, although there are areas that could use a little attention.

# 3. Introduction

This document is divided into two distinct sections:

1. Functional testing: a list of implemented test cases, grouped by functionality, will be presented. For each one, a description and an indication of how effective it is in detecting errors will be given. For effectiveness, the code coverage and a screenshot of the code will be used to check that all possible decisions have been tested during the execution of the program to avoid the existence of errors.
2. Performance testing: appropriate graphs and a 95% confidence interval will be provided for the time taken for the requests in the tests on two different computers. In addition, after the tests on the different computers, it will be indicated which of these is the most powerful and offers the best performance.

# 4. Functional Testing

Tests cases related to bookings:
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* Create booking:
  + Description: the restrictions of all the fields of the booking creation form are tested with values related to positive, negative and hacking cases.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Delete booking:
  + Description: the elimination of a booking is tested, with positive, negative and hacking cases. In addition, its associated activity logs are checked for deletion.
  + Coverage: 58.8%
  + Effectiveness: in this case, the coverage is 63% due to the unbind method, as in no case this method is entered but it is necessary for the other services.
* List bookings:
  + Description: the list of bookings associated with the currently logged-in customer is tested. The different hacking cases are also verified.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Publish booking:
  + Description: the publication of a booking is tested. It is checked that if there aren’t passengers associated, or all of them are not published, it is not posible to publish the booking.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Show booking:
  + Description: the display of a booking, for its corresponding customer and associated flight, is tested. In addition, the different hacking cases are tested.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Update booking:
  + Description: the constraints of all fields of a booking update form are tested with values for positive, negative and hacking cases.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.

In short, the only red thing that can be seen is the unbind method of the delete service, since it is not executed at any time, as it is impossible to enter this condition. For this reason and for having an average coverage of 92.8% in booking, it is considered that the existence of potential failures or bugs is negligible.

Test cases relating to passengers:

Captura de pantalla de un celular con letras
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* Create passenger:
  + Description: the restrictions of all the fields of the passenger creation form are tested with values related to positive, negative and hacking cases.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Delete passenger:
  + Description: the elimination of a booking is tested, with positive, negative and hacking cases.
  + Coverage: 73.7%
  + Effectiveness: coverage is around 74% due to the unbind method, as in the delete booking. This is not a cause for concern as the unbind method is the same as in other services and is already proven to work correctly in those services.
* List passengers:
  + Description: the list of passengers associated with the currently logged-in customer is tested. The different hacking cases are also verified.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Publish passenger:
  + Description: the publication of a passenger is tested.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Show passenger:
  + Description: the display of a passenger, with its corresponding booking, is tested. In addition, the different hacking cases are tested.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.
* Update passenger:
  + Description: the constraints of all fields of a passenger update form are tested with values for positive, negative and hacking cases.
  + Coverage: 100%
  + Effectiveness: perfect coverage.

In short, the only red thing that can be seen is the unbind method of the delete service, since it is not executed at any time, as it is impossible to enter this condition. For all these reasons and for having an average coverage of 93.4% in passenger, it is considered that the existence of potential failures or bugs is negligible.

# 5. Performance testing

After performing the set of tests for the appropriate functionalities, all the steps shown in ‘S02 - Performance testing’ have been carried out, obtaining the following results:
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As can be seen in the images above, the average time taken by the system to perform a request is approximately 25.1 ms, i.e. 0.025 seconds, which is quite fast.

Furthermore, the bar chart clearly shows that the requests that take the longest time are those that handle a larger amount of data and validations, specifically those related to the creation, deletion and publication of an passenger. This is partly due to the fact that several validations of both the ActivityLog class and the associated FlightAssignment class must be checked.

Interfaz de usuario gráfica, Aplicación, Tabla, Excel
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The indexes reduced the average to 13 ms (48% faster), which improves the stability of the system and prepares it for higher data volume scenarios.
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Given this two-tailed p-value result (≈0), we can conclude that, being in the interval between [0, 0.05), the changes made have been fruitful and have helped to improve performance.
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As can be seen, the value of the two-tail p-value is almost 0, a value far away from alpha.

# 6. Conclusions

After creating this testing report, it has been concluded that this phase of the project lifecycle is vital. Verifying that all developed functions work correctly and are thoroughly checked to minimise errors or failures, as well as ensuring that performance is optimised as much as possible, are fundamental aspects for the client. A thoroughly tested system allows the end-user to use it quickly and intuitively, avoiding problems that can detract from their experience.

In addition, a meticulous testing process contributes to customer satisfaction and product reputation, ensuring that quality and efficiency expectations are consistently met.
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